## Measurement of the neutron distribution radius in <sup>208</sup>Pb by low-energy electron scattering

R.Danjo, Research Center for Accelerator and Radioisotope Science (RARiS), Tohoku University, Japan for the ULQ2 collaboration

Physics motivation	LEEP(Low Energy Electron Scattering for <sup>208</sup> Pb
<ul> <li>Nucleus         <ul> <li>Proton + Neutron</li> </ul> </li> <li>Proton and neutron distribution are the fundamental quantities of nuclear structures. Proton distribution → well known by electron elastic scattering Neutron distribution → not well known Electron elastic scattering (neutron charge is zero, not considered) Hadron scattering (Model dependence) Parity-violating electron scattering (Measure A<sub>PV</sub>~10<sup>6</sup>, very difficult)</li> <li>New method shows</li> <li>Possibility of accessing the neutron information through electron elastic scattering<sup>[1]</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Why low-q?         <ul> <li>The cross section is very large.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Why <sup>208</sup>Pb?         <ul> <li>The nuclear charge density distribution is well known.</li> <li>It is a doubly magic nucleus.</li> <li>There are many theoretical models.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Method 1: measure R<sub>n</sub> from the cross section ratio         <ul> <li>We use DWBA instead of PWBA, and directly relate the cross section ratio and the neutron distribution radius.</li> <li>The cross section ratio will be measured with an accuracy of 0.1%</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Nuclear charge density and n <sup>th</sup> moment	to extract the neutron distribution radius with an accuracy of less than 1%.
<u>Nuclear charge density distribution consists</u>	Cross section vs $< R_n^2 > \text{ of }^{208}\text{Pb}$

neutron

of the proton and the neutron contributions. Theoretically the neutron contribution is known to be about 1%.

## From the 2<sup>nd</sup> moment

- The 2<sup>nd</sup> moment is the square of nuclear charge radius.
- The 2<sup>nd</sup> moment is measured not only for stable nuclei but also for unstable nuclei • The neutron distribution radius is inaccessible.

 $\langle r_c^2 \rangle \equiv \int r^2 \rho_c(r) \mathrm{d}^3 r$ 

 $= e_p < R_p^2 > + < r_p^2 > + e_n < R_n^2 > + \frac{N}{7} < r_n^2 > + \text{rel. corr.}$ 

From the 4<sup>th</sup> moment

• It is possible to obtain the neutron distribution from the 4<sup>th</sup> moment.

 $\langle r_c^4 \rangle \equiv \int r^4 \rho_c(r) \mathrm{d}^3 r$  $= e_p < R_p^4 > + \frac{10}{3} < R_p^2 > < r_p^2 > + e_n < R_n^4 > + \frac{10}{3} < R_n^2 > < r_n^2 > \frac{N}{7} + \text{rel. corr.}$ 

Neutron distribution radius of <sup>208</sup>Pb from 4<sup>th</sup> moment within RMF

• The figure below plots  $< r_c^4 >$  vs  $< R_n^2 >$  obtained from relativistic mean field models. • 11 models are fitted as a straight line.



 $(\theta)_{0}^{0}$ Goal accuracy ~0.1% **Experimental value** 6.15 32 32.5 33  $< R_n^2 > [fm^2]$ Method 2: measure  $R_n$  from the 4<sup>th</sup> moment W assume that the cross section can be expressed including distortion effect f(q) based on PWBA formula.  $\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\exp} = f_{\exp}(q) \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\operatorname{Mott}} |F(q)|^2, \ \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\operatorname{mode}l} = f_{\operatorname{mode}l}(q) \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\operatorname{Mott}} |F(q)|^2$ f(q) are no difference between some distributions, even if 2PF, at low-q.  $f_{\exp}(q) \approx f(q) \approx f_{\text{model}}(q) \ (f_{\exp}(q): \text{SOG, FB}, f_{\text{model}}(q): 2\text{PF})$ • We calculate f(q) using 2PF and measure the cross section. Then we can get the form factor F(q) and the 4<sup>th</sup> moment. (cf. Methods to obtain 4<sup>th</sup> moment Low momentum transfer)



Reference

<sup>[1]</sup> H. Kurasawa and T. Suzuki, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2019, 113D01(2019)

- Electron scattering can determine  $\langle R_n^2 \rangle$  from  $\langle r_c^4 \rangle$ .
- $< r_c^4 >$  can be measured not only from a wide-q range but also at low-q. This is a possible application for unstable nuclei.
- We are currently studying the relationship between the cross section ratio and  $\langle R_n^2 \rangle$  using <sup>208</sup>Pb target. (LEEP exp.)
- LEEP exp. needs ~0.1% cross section ratio accuracy to extract the  $\langle R_n^2 \rangle$ with an accuracy of less than 1%. (Stay tuned to see the result!!)